arXiv:math/0411267v2 [math.NT] 12 Nov 2004

On a multiple harmonic power series.

Michel Émery *

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The results obtained in the first version are not new.

MSC: 11M35, 33E20, 40A25, 40B05.

Keywords: Multiple harmonic series, Lerch function, Polylogarithm.

New version

The claimed results are not new. I am thankful to Professor Prodinger whe kindly pointed out to me references on earlier publication. The lemma of the first version was already published in 1995 as Corollary 3 of [1], and the formulas stated in the abstract of the first version are trivial consequences of it.

References

[1] K. Dilcher, Some q-identities related to divisor functions, *Discrete Math.* **145** (1995) 83–93.

*IRMA, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France. emery@math.u-strasbg.fr

arXiv:math/0411267v2 [math.NT] 12 Nov 2004

On a multiple harmonic power series.

Michel Émery *

February 1, 2008

Abstract

If Li_s denotes the polylogarithm of order s, where s is a natural number, and if z belongs to the unit disk,

$$\mathrm{Li}_s\left(\frac{-z}{1-z}\right) = -\sum_{1\leqslant i_1\leqslant\ldots\leqslant i_s} \frac{z^{i_s}}{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_s}\ .$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^s} = \sum_{1\leqslant i_1\leqslant\ldots\leqslant i_s} \frac{1}{i_1 \ldots i_s\, 2^{i_s}}\ .$$

MSC: 11M35, 33E20, 40A25, 40B05.

Keywords: Multiple harmonic series, Lerch function, Polylogarithm.

Introduction

Equalities and identities between multiple harmonic series and polylogarithms have been investigated by many authors; see for instance [1] and the references therein. These series usually involve summations over all s-tuples $(i_1,\ldots,i_s)$ of natural numbers such that $i_1<\ldots<i_s$, where s is fixed. We shall be concerned with an instance where the summation indices may be equal to each other, that is, a sum over all integers $i_1,\ldots,i_s$ verifying $1\leqslant i_1\leqslant\ldots\leqslant i_s$.

Definition. *For $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{-1,-2,\ldots\}$ and $s\in\{1,2,\ldots\}$, the Lerch function of order s with shift α is defined by*

$$\mathrm{Li}_s^\alpha(w) = \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{w^n}{(\alpha+n)^s}\ .$$

The power series converges in the unit disk only, but the analytic function Li_s^α extends to the whole complex plane minus a cut along for instance the

*IRMA, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France. emery@math.u-strasbg.fr

half-line $[1,\infty)$; see § 1.11 of [2]. When $\alpha = 0$, Li_s^α is just Li_s, the usual polylogarithm of order s. We shall be interested in the values of $\mathrm{Li}_s^\alpha(w)$ in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(w) < \frac{1}{2}$ only; they are given by the next proposition (which establishes anew the existence of the analytic extension of Li_s^α to that half-plane).

Proposition. *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, -2, \ldots\}$ and $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, one has the following power series expansion, which converges for $|z| < 1$:*

$$\mathrm{Li}_s^\alpha\left(\frac{-z}{1-z}\right) = -\sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_s} \frac{(i_s-1)!}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\ldots(\alpha+i_s)} \ \frac{z^{i_s}}{(\alpha+i_1)(\alpha+i_2)\ldots(\alpha+i_{s-1})} \,.$$

When $\alpha = 0$, the right-hand side becomes much simpler:

Corollary. *Fix $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. For z in the unit disk,*

$$\mathrm{Li}_s\left(\frac{-z}{1-z}\right) = -\sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_s} \frac{z^{i_s}}{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_s} \,.$$

Equivalently, for $\mathrm{Re}\, w < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\mathrm{Li}_s(w) = -\sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_s} \frac{1}{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_s} \left(\frac{-w}{1-w}\right)^{i_s} \,.$$

For instance, choosing $z = \frac{1}{2}$ in the corollary gives

$$\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^s} = \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_s} \frac{1}{i_1 \ldots i_s \, 2^{i_s}} \,;$$

as the left-hand side is $(1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$, and the right-hand one is $\sum a_p/(p2^p)$ with $a_p \leqslant (1 + \log p)^{s-1}$, this is a reasonably fast series expansion of $\zeta(s)$. More generally, taking $z = \frac{1}{2}$ in the proposition gives a more rapidly convergent series for $\sum_n (-1)^n (\alpha+n)^{-s}$.

Proof of the proposition

Observe first that the right-hand side in the statement of the proposition is a power series $\sum c_p z^p$, with

$$\begin{aligned} |c_p| &\leqslant \frac{(p-1)!}{|\alpha+1|\ldots|\alpha+p|} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_{s-1} \leqslant p} \frac{1}{|\alpha+i_1|\ldots|\alpha+i_{s-1}|} \\ &\leqslant \frac{(p-1)!}{|\alpha+1|\ldots|\alpha+p|} \ \frac{p^{s-1}}{C(\alpha)^{s-1}} \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\alpha) = \inf\{|\alpha+1|, |\alpha+2|, \ldots\} > 0$. By d'Alembert's test, the series $\sum |c_p z^p|$ converges in the unit disk; so does also $\sum c_p z^p$. Consequently, to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that both sides of the claimed identity are equal for $|z|$ small enough. We shall take $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$; for such a z, one has $|-z/(1-z)| < 1$, whence

$$\mathrm{Li}_s^\alpha\Big(\frac{-z}{1-z}\Big) = \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(\alpha+n)^s}\Big(\frac{z}{1-z}\Big)^n = \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(\alpha+n)^s} \sum_{p\geqslant n} \binom{p-1}{n-1} z^p \,.$$

Exchanging the summations gives

$$(*) \qquad \mathrm{Li}_s^\alpha\Big(\frac{-z}{1-z}\Big) = \sum_{p\geqslant 1} z^p \sum_{n=1}^{p} \binom{p-1}{n-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(\alpha+n)^s} \,.$$

This exchange is licit because, setting $K(\alpha) = 1/\inf\limits_{n\geqslant 1} |1+\alpha/n| < \infty$, one has the estimate

$$\sum_{p\geqslant n\geqslant 1} \left| \binom{p-1}{n-1} \frac{(-1)^n z^p}{(\alpha+n)^s} \right| \leqslant K(\alpha)^s \sum_{p\geqslant n\geqslant 1} \binom{p-1}{n-1} \frac{|z|^p}{n^s} = K(\alpha)^s \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \Big(\frac{|z|}{1-|z|}\Big)^n,$$

which is finite because $0 \leqslant |z|/(1-|z|) < 1$.

To establish the proposition, it remains to compute the coefficient of z^p in $(*)$, and more precisely to show that, for all $\alpha \notin \{-1, -2, \ldots\}$, $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $p \geqslant 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p} \binom{p-1}{n-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(\alpha+n)^s} = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{(p-1)!}{(\alpha+1)_p} \displaystyle\sum_{1\leqslant i_1\leqslant \ldots\leqslant i_{s-1}\leqslant p} \prod_{r=1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{\alpha+i_r} & \text{if } s \geqslant 2, \\ -\dfrac{(p-1)!}{(\alpha+1)_p} & \text{if } s = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $(x)_p$ is the Pochhammer symbol standing for $\prod\limits_{j=0}^{p-1} (x+j)$.

Putting $q = p-1$, $m = n-1$ and $\beta = \alpha+1$, it suffices to prove the following lemma:

Lemma. *For all $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, \ldots\}$ and all integers $q \geqslant 0$ and $s \geqslant 1$, one has*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{q} \binom{q}{m} \frac{(-1)^m}{(\beta+m)^s} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{q!}{(\beta)_{q+1}} \displaystyle\sum_{0\leqslant i_1\leqslant \ldots\leqslant i_{s-1}\leqslant q} \prod_{r=1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{\beta+i_r} & \textit{if } s \geqslant 2; \\ \dfrac{q!}{(\beta)_{q+1}} & \textit{if } s = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof of the lemma

Call $L(q,\beta)$ and $R(q,\beta)$ the left- and right-hand sides in this statement. First, for $q=0$ and $q=1$, the lemma is easily verified:

$$L(0,\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^s} = R(0,\beta)\ ;$$

$$L(1,\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^s} - \frac{1}{(\beta+1)^s} = \frac{1}{\beta(\beta+1)} \sum_{u+v=s-1} \frac{1}{\beta^u}\,\frac{1}{(\beta+1)^v} = R(1,\beta)\ .$$

Next, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L(q+1,\beta) &= \sum_m \tbinom{q+1}{m} \frac{(-1)^m}{(\beta+m)^s} = \sum_m \Big[\tbinom{q}{m} + \tbinom{q}{m-1}\Big] \frac{(-1)^m}{(\beta+m)^s} \\ &= \sum_m \tbinom{q}{m} \Big[\frac{(-1)^m}{(\beta+m)^s} + \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{(\beta+m+1)^s}\Big] \\ &= L(q,\beta) - L(q,\beta+1)\ . \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof will consist in verifying that, for $q \geqslant 1$, the right-hand side satisfies the same relation:

$$(**) \qquad R(q+1,\beta) = R(q,\beta) - R(q,\beta+1)\ .$$

When this is done, $(**)$ immediately implies that the property

$$\forall \beta \notin \{0,-1,\ldots\} \qquad L(q,\beta) = R(q,\beta)$$

extends by induction from $q=1$ to all $q \geqslant 2$, thus proving the lemma.

The following notation will simplify the proof of $(**)$: for all integers $n \geqslant 0$, $t \geqslant 1$, $a \geqslant 0$ and $b \geqslant a$, set

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\beta+n}\ ; \qquad S_a^b(t) = \sum_{a \leqslant i_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_t \leqslant b} \prod_{r=1}^{t} f_{i_r}\ ; \qquad S_a^b(0) = 1\ ;$$

and remark that, for $t \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant a \leqslant b < c$,

$$S_a^c(t) = \sum_{u+v=t} S_a^b(u)\, S_{b+1}^c(v)\ ;$$

similarly, for $1 \leqslant a \leqslant b$,

$$S_{a-1}^{b+1}(t) = \sum_{u+v+w=t} S_{a-1}^{a-1}(u)\, S_a^b(v)\, S_{b+1}^{b+1}(w) = \sum_{u+v+w=t} f_{a-1}^u\, S_a^b(v)\, f_{b+1}^w\ .$$

Keeping these remarks in mind, the proof of $(**)$ goes as follows. Put $t = s-1$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} R(q,\beta) - R(q,\beta+1) &= \frac{q!}{(\beta)_{q+1}}\, S_0^q(t) - \frac{q!}{(\beta+1)_{q+1}}\, S_1^{q+1}(t) \\ &= \frac{q!}{(\beta+1)_q} \Big[\frac{1}{\beta}\, S_0^q(t) - \frac{1}{\beta+q+1}\, S_1^{q+1}(t)\Big]\ . \end{aligned}$$

The quantity in square brackets can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & f_0 \sum_{v=0}^{t} S_0^0(t-v) S_1^q(v) - f_{q+1} \sum_{v=0}^{t} S_1^q(v) S_{q+1}^{q+1}(t-v) \\ & \quad = \sum_{v=0}^{t} S_1^q(v)(f_0^{t-v+1} - f_{q+1}^{t-v+1}) = \sum_{v=0}^{t} S_1^q(v)\,(f_0 - f_{q+1}) \sum_{u+w=t-v} f_0^u f_{q+1}^w \\ & \quad = (f_0 - f_{q+1}) \sum_{u+v+w=t} f_0^u S_1^q(v) f_{q+1}^w = (f_0 - f_{q+1})\, S_0^{q+1}(t) \\ & \quad = \frac{q+1}{\beta(\beta+q+1)} S_0^{q+1}(t)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the difference $R(q,\beta) - R(q,\beta{+}1)$ amounts to

$$\frac{q!}{(\beta{+}1)_q}\ \frac{q+1}{\beta(\beta+q+1)}\, S_0^{q+1}(t) = \frac{(q+1)!}{(\beta)_{q+2}}\ S_0^{q+1}(t) = R(q{+}1,\beta)\ .$$

This proves $(**)$, and at the same time the lemma and the proposition.

Remark. In the particular case when $\alpha = 0$ and $z = \frac{1}{2}$, $(*)$ is exactly formula (4) of J. Sondow [3], obtained by accelerating convergence of the alternating zeta series. What the lemma does is computing the numerator in that formula. To that end, $(**)$ is needed for $\beta \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ only; but the general proof is just as easy.

References

[1] D. Bailey, P. Borwein, D.J. Broadhutst, and P. Lisoněk, Special values of multiple polylogarithms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2000) 907–941.

[2] *Higher Transcendental Functions,* A. Erdélyi editor (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).

[3] J. Sondow, Analytic continuation of Riemann's zeta function and values at negative integers via Euler's transformation of series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994) 421–424.